

Applicant : D. Amnon Silverstein  
Serial No. : 09/484,667  
Filed : Jan. 18, 2000  
Page : 7 of 8

Attorney's Docket No.: 10982103-1  
Amendment dated Jan. 13, 2004  
Reply to Office action dated Oct. 22, 2003

### Remarks

#### I. Status of claims

Original claims 1-10 were pending.

Original claims 11-21 have been withdrawn as being directed to a non-elected species.

New claims 22-31 have been added.

#### II. Election of species

Applicant respectfully requests that claim 19 be included with the current set of elected claims (claims 1-10) because claim 19 encompasses the elected Species I and essentially tracks claim 1.

New claims 22, 28, 30, and 31 read on all of the species (Species I-IV) that were identified by the Examiner in the action dated July 29, 2003. New claims 23 and 29 read on Species I and II. New claims 24-27 read on Species I.

#### III. Rejection of claims

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-10 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Hedberg (U.S. 6,411,275) in view of Suso (U.S. 6,069,648).

MPEP § 2136.03.I. provides that:

Foreign applications' filing dates that are claimed (via 35 U.S.C. 119(a) – (d), (f) or 365(a)) in applications, which have been published as U.S. or WIPO application publications or patented in the U.S., may not be used as 35 U.S.C. 102(e) dates for prior art purposes. This includes international filing dates claimed as foreign priority dates under 35 U.S.C. 365(a).<Therefore, the foreign priority date of the reference under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) \*\*>(f), and 365(a)< cannot be used to antedate the application filing date.

Accordingly, Hedberg is effective prior art as of its U.S. filing date of December 23, 1998.

Applicant : D. Amnon Silverstein  
Serial No. : 09/484,667  
Filed : Jan. 18, 2000  
Page : 8 of 8

Attorney's Docket No.: 10982103-1  
Amendment dated Jan. 13, 2004  
Reply to Office action dated Oct. 22, 2003

As established by the attached Declaration Under 37 CFR § 1.131, Applicant had conceived the subject matter recited in the pending claims in this country prior to prior to Hedberg's effective filing date (i.e., December 23, 1998) and diligently reduced this subject matter to practice from a time prior to Hedberg's effective filing date up to the date of reduction to practice, at least to the extent of the pertinent portion of Hedberg's disclosure relied upon by the Examiner. Therefore, Hedberg is not prior art and the Examiner's rejection of claims 1-10 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Hedberg in view of Suso should be withdrawn.


#### IV. Conclusion

For the reasons explained above, all of the pending claims are now in condition for allowance and should be allowed.

Charge any excess fees or apply any credits to Deposit Account No. 08-2025.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 13, 2004

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Edouard Garcia  
Reg. No. 38,461  
Telephone No.: (650) 631-6591

Please direct all correspondence to:

Hewlett-Packard Company  
Intellectual Property Administration  
Legal Department, M/S 35  
P.O. Box 272400  
Fort Collins, CO 80528-9599